# Simpson Thacher

# 2022 Global Cartel Forecast

January 2022

While 2021 ushered in a resurgence of aggressive cartel enforcement, it remained predominantly focused on domestic, as opposed to global, matters. Yet, the developments of 2021 offer a view towards growing globalization of enforcement and areas that are likely to come into focus in 2022, including: the rise of the U.K.'s Competition and Markets Authority as a global cartel enforcer; increased scrutiny of labor markets across the globe; a renewed focus on financial institutions as economies seek to recover from the pandemic; elevated enforcement over procurement collusion; and the potential for policy shifts in the U.S. and EU that might enable the pursuit of sustainability goals through antitrust enforcement.

## Looking Inward in 2021

Domestic cases were yet again the primary drivers of cartel figures in 2021 and continue to dominate the landscape of active investigations and litigation at the forefront of regulators' dockets. While there are calls, including in the sweeping Executive Order issued last year by U.S. President Biden, for a return to global coordination and enforcement to mirror industry consolidation and trends, the largely domestic agendas that countries will employ to rebound from the impact of the global pandemic may temper those efforts.

Fine totals in 2021 reflected the continued focus on smaller-scale, domestic cartel matters, with notable jurisdictions posting fine totals well below historic highs. For example, U.S. fine totals remained at historically low levels, dipping further below 2020 figures, and enforcers in Japan and Canada posted no fines at all. Certain other notable enforcers, like Australia, Korea and China, saw some rebounding in their figures compared to recent years. The exception to the rule in 2021 was the European Commission, which imposed its highest total fines since 2016, although those figures were largely due to a series of long-pending, global enforcement matters—like the Foreign Exchange investigation—that were only recently concluded by the Commission.



## Select Year-to-Year Comparison<sup>12</sup>



Statistics from selected jurisdictions are approximate and reflect fine levels and exchange rates at the time of writing and may not be exhaustive. Statistics
reflecting penalties for the U.S. include those in the U.S. fiscal year, October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021. All other statistics include enforcements in the 2021
calendar year. Final totals include the amounts imposed during the relevant time period, and exclude fines that were reduced, adjusted, or re-imposed from
prior years.

2. Fine amounts were based on the local currency and converted to U.S. dollars using the currency exchange rates reported by the United States Treasury's Reporting Rates of Exchange, available here (as modified in December 2021).

The lower fine totals in 2021 are not, however, reflective of a drop in enforcement. Rather, cartel enforcement activity has been on the rise. Reflecting this trend, the U.S. Antitrust Division noted in its Spring 2021 update that the agency was preparing for thirteen criminal trials, some of which—including the Division's first no-poach prosecution and prosecution of a Florida medical provider for an alleged market allocation scheme—are scheduled to go forward early in the new year. More importantly, the unprecedented political and media visibility antitrust enforcement is currently enjoying leads us to expect even more aggressive enforcement in 2022.

# Trends to Watch for in 2022

# Post Brexit—U.K. CMA Steps Up to the Plate

The U.K.'s Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) announced its intent to develop its own standing as a global cartel enforcer, declaring in its annual plan for 2021 and 2022, that it is "ready to launch complex cartel and antitrust cases and merger investigations with a global dimension that would have previously been reserved to the European Commission." Following the Brexit transition period at the end of 2020, the CMA ceased to enforce Article 101 TFEU in the U.K., and now utilizes the U.K.'s Competition Act 1998 for cartel enforcement, increasing the risk that conduct may face parallel enforcement from both U.K. and EU regulators. In domestic enforcement, commentators expect active enforcement particularly in the construction sector, which has been under the microscope in recent years resulting in multiple successful investigations by the CMA.

## Global Scrutiny of Labor Markets

The U.S. Antitrust Division continues to prioritize pursuit and prosecution of wage-fixing and no-poach conduct in labor markets. The Antitrust Division scored a critical victory in its case against the former owner of a physical therapist staffing company for alleged wage-fixing conduct when a Texas federal court denied the defendant's motion to dismiss. The court rejected arguments that wage-fixing conduct could not be prosecuted criminally, holding instead that the conduct met the definition of a horizontal price-fixing agreement, and was therefore properly viewed as a per se violation. Defendants in two

cases have similarly challenged the Antitrust Division's authority to pursue no-poach conduct as a criminal violation, and antitrust experts eagerly await the courts' rulings in those cases, as the decisions will impact future no-poach cases by the Division, as well as civil litigation of the same conduct. Finally, in December the Antitrust Division announced indictments of six individuals for engaging in a hub-and-spoke no-poach conspiracy in the aerospace engineering market, the Division's first no-poach case outside of the healthcare sector. In an unusually aggressive move, the Division arrested one individual, purported to be the hub of the conspiracy, who was later released on bail. Commentators identified the case as one to watch, as the vertical relationships of the hub-and-spoke structure may implicate novel questions and arguments.

Global enforcers have similarly zeroed in on conduct that limits employee compensation and choices. Executive Vice President Margrethe Vestager of the European Commission signaled in a speech in late 2021 that the Commission would pursue wage-fixing and no-poach conduct, noting the "very direct effect" that such agreements have on individual victims. In Portugal, the Autoridade da Concorrência completed a consultation regarding collusion in the labor market, and released best practice guidance for companies advising the elimination of no-poach and wage-fixing practices. Enforcers in France, Italy, and Indonesia brought charges for wage-fixing or no-poach conduct in recent years, and regulators in additional multiple countries-including Mexico, Portugal, Colombia, Turkey, and Poland-are actively pursuing or investigating collusion in labor markets. Scrutiny of collusion in labor markets is

expected to continue in 2022 and beyond, as multiple regulators consistently place this new effort at the top of their priorities.

## Financial Institutions Under the Microscope

Enforcement actions against financial institutions may no longer dominate the headlines as they did in the mid-2010s, but financial markets are never far from regulators' eves and are again garnering attention as economies look to rebound from the pandemic. In addition to the European Commission's actions against banks for collusion related to government bonds and forex spot-trading, regulators in Mexico and Brazil also fined financial institutions for cartel conduct in 2021. In 2022, antitrust experts will be closely monitoring the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission's case against alleged collusion among joint underwriters involved in a stock sale for Australia and New Zealand Banking Group (ANZ). The outcome of the case, which seeks to criminalize alleged agreements among joint underwriters in the disposition of shares in the stock sale, could have significant implications for how capital raisings and other joint underwriting activities are performed in the future.

### Continued Focus on Procurement Collusion

Procurement fraud investigations and prosecutions will remain a primary diet of antitrust enforcers in 2022 as investigations and allegations arise into the proper use of the substantial public funds spent in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and those governments will be investing in rebuilding. In the U.S., the Antitrust Division's Procurement Collusion Strike Force (PCSF) was active this year as it seeks to establish itself as both a national and international presence. The PCSF secured several guilty pleas in 2021, including its first against a foreign company, and is promising to double its efforts in 2022. The Department of Defense (DOD) also commissioned a study this year on how to enhance its department-wide approaches to preventing contractingrelated fraud schemes. One suggested solution was strengthening the DOD Fraud Reduction Task Forces to

better prevent procurement fraud during contract bids. Internationally, global regulators have similarly focused their attention on public procurement fraud, such as the Japan Fair Trade Commission's and Korean Fair Trade Commission's review of bids related to the recent and upcoming Olympics.

## Policy Shifts to Promote Sustainability

We expect to see multiple regulators give further consideration as to how to pursue sustainability goals through antitrust enforcement policy. In the U.S., the Biden administration has pledged to combat climate change using every available policy tool and, with that goal in mind, some are calling for permissive corporate collaborations for the purpose of promoting environmental sustainability. The EU has similarly focused on combating climate change, particularly with the European Commission's 2019 Green Deal. While the EU works to become climate neutral as outlined in the Green Deal, it has signaled that competition policy must also evolve in order to make that plan a reality. The EU is currently assessing cooperation agreements around research and development, purchasing, and standardization to determine whether eco-friendly collaboration standards can achieve these goals. Should sustainability-focused agreements come to fruition, it would enable companies to collaborate on environmental standards in ways that may otherwise implicate antitrust laws, but would be exempt in the name of combating climate change. We would expect global regulators to pay close attention to the EU's developments and follow its lead should these collaboration agreements prove successful.





#### This edition of the Global Cartel Forecast was authored by:



John Terzaken Partner +1-202-636-5858 john.terzaken@stblaw.com



Elizabeth H. French Associate +1-202-636-5866 elizabeth.french@stblaw.com



Laurel E. Fresquez Associate +1-202-636-5537 laurel.fresquez@stblaw.com

For further information, please contact the authors, the following Partners, or your regular Simpson Thacher contact.

Antonio Bavasso +32-2-504-73-10 antonio.bavasso@stblaw.com

Harrison J. (Buzz) Frahn +1-650-251-5065 hfrahn@stblaw.com

Jeffrey H. Knox +1-202-636-5532 jeffrey.knox@stblaw.com

Karen Porter +1-202-636-5539 karen.porter@stblaw.com Brooke E. Cucinella +1-212-455-3070 brooke.cucinella@stblaw.com

Nicholas S. Goldin +1-212-455-3685 ngoldin@stblaw.com

Noritaka Kumamoto +81-3-5562-6219 nkumamoto@stblaw.com

Sara Y. Razi +1-202-636-5582 sara.razi@stblaw.com Abram J. Ellis +1-202-636-5579 aellis@stblaw.com

Peter Guryan +1-212-455-2750 peter.guryan@stblaw.com

Preston Miller +1-202-636-5822 preston.miller@stblaw.com

Étienne Renaudeau +32-2-504-73-20 erenaudeau@stblaw.com

The contents of this publication are for informational purposes only. Neither this publication nor the lawyers who authored it are rendering legal or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters, nor does the distribution of this publication to any person constitute the establishment of an attorney-client relationship. Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP assumes no liability in connection with the use of this publication. Please contact your relationship partner if we can be of assistance regarding these important developments. The names and office locations of all of our partners, as well as our recent memoranda, can be obtained from our website, <u>www.simpsonthacher.com</u>.



# Our Antitrust and Trade Regulation Practice

#### Our Market-Leading Practice

Clients turn to us for our record of success in handling high-stakes antitrust disputes, investigations, and achieving regulatory clearance for the largest and most complicated transactions. We provide sophisticated and comprehensive advice to clients across every major industry.

"An experienced practice, providing advice and representation to blue-chip clients in numerous industries across the spectrum of antitrust matters"

Chambers and Partners

#### \$325 billion

In the last twelve months, our global offices have been antitrust counsel on numerous deals totaling approximately \$325 billion

(many transactions did not disclose financial terms)

### Expanding Our Footprint and Investing in Our Talent

In September 2021, the Firm opened a Brussels office, which focuses on providing client advice on European merger control and competition law and regulations concerning State aid and foreign direct investment before the European Commission, the European Courts and Member States of the European Union.

Since January 2021, we have added eight antitrust attorneys in our London and Brussels offices, including leading antitrust practitioner Antonio Bavasso, who joined firm in February 2021. In addition, we recently promoted several Partners, Senior Counsel and Counsel.

Preston Miller (Partner, Washington, D.C.) Étienne Renaudeau (Partner, London/Brussels) Josh Buckland (Counsel, London) Karen Porter (Partner, Washington, D.C.)Richard J. Jamgochian (Senior Counsel, New York)John Goheen (Counsel, Washington, D.C.)

#### **Our Key Practice Contacts**



Peter Guryan New York Global Co-Chair, Antitrust & Trade Regulation +1-212-455-2750 peter.guryan@stblaw.com



John Terzaken Washington, D.C. Global Co-Chair, Antitrust & Trade Regulation +1-202-636-5858 john.terzaken@stblaw.com



Sara Y. Razi Washington, D.C. Global Co-Chair, Antitrust & Trade Regulation +1-202-636-5582 sara.razi@stblaw.com



Antonio Bavasso London/Brussels Head, European Antitrust and Foreign Investment Group +44-(0)20-7275-6122 (London) / +32-2-504-73-10 (Brussels) antonio.bavasso@stblaw.com

#### Our award-winning practice and attorneys are consistently recognized by:













ATTORNEY ADVERTISING.

2022 Global Cartel Forecast

#### Simpson Thacher



#### UNITED STATES

New York 425 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017 +1-212-455-2000

Houston 600 Travis Street, Suite 5400 Houston, TX 77002 +1-713-821-5650

Los Angeles 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067 +1-310-407-7500

Palo Alto 2475 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304 +1-650-251-5000

Washington, D.C. 900 G Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 +1-202-636-5500

#### EUROPE

Brussels Square de Meeus 1, Floor 7 B-1000 Brussels Belgium +32-472-99-42-26

London CityPoint One Ropemaker Street London EC2Y 9HU England +44-(0)20-7275-6500

#### ASIA

Beijing 3901 China World Tower A 1 Jian Guo Men Wai Avenue Beijing 100004 China +86-10-5965-2999

Hong Kong ICBC Tower 3 Garden Road, Central Hong Kong +852-2514-7600

Tokyo Ark Hills Sengokuyama Mori Tower 9-10, Roppongi 1-Chome Minato-Ku, Tokyo 106-0032 Japan +81-3-5562-6200

#### SOUTH AMERICA

São Paulo Av. Presidente Juscelino Kubitschek, 1455 São Paulo, SP 04543-011 Brazil +55-11-3546-1000