

Memorandum

Seeking to Bolster IPOs, SEC Issues Policy Statement Permitting Mandatory Arbitration of Federal Securities Law Claims

September 23, 2025

On September 17, 2025, the SEC approved by a three-to-one vote the issuance of a [policy statement](#) permitting public companies to adopt mandatory arbitration provisions for federal securities law claims in their organizational documents.

Previously, the SEC had effectively prohibited initial public offerings for companies with mandatory arbitration provisions by refusing to accelerate the effective date of registration statements for such companies pursuant to authority granted to it under Section 8(a) of the Securities Act in cases where it determines that such refusal is, among other things, in the public interest and promotes investor protections. Opponents have long contended that mandatory arbitration provisions are void under the “anti-waiver” provisions of Section 14 of the Securities Act and Section 29(a) of the Exchange Act and would undermine investor protection by removing securities lawsuits from the U.S. federal courts in favor of private arbitration and undermine the ability of investors to proceed on a classwide basis.

SEC Chairman Paul Atkins stated that while he is “pleased that the Commission is voting today on whether to issue the Policy Statement,” he noted that “the agency is, unfortunately, at least a decade too late in taking this action.” Pointing out that “the law in this area has been clear since *at least* 2013,” Chairman Atkins stated that the issuance of the policy statement will provide clarity that mandatory arbitration provisions “are not inconsistent with the federal securities laws.”¹ The SEC now takes the view that, based on the Supreme Court’s current interpretation and application of the Federal Arbitration Act, the existence of such a provision will not impact determinations whether to accelerate the effective date of a registration statement. In evaluating a registration statement, the SEC staff will now focus in this area on the adequacy of the disclosure regarding the arbitration provision.

The SEC’s policy statement provides companies with greater flexibility to implement mandatory arbitration provisions in connection with going public. There is, however, divergent commentary on the advisability of adopting such provisions, reflecting broad support in the business community for procedural mechanisms that reduce the burden and expense of securities class action lawsuits but also cautioning that arbitration has both pros and cons for companies that must be considered carefully. Moreover, companies will also need to consider

¹ *American Express Co. v. Italian Colors Restaurant*, 570 U.S. 228 (2013).

the enforceability of these provisions as a matter of relevant state law and the likelihood of further federal court litigation around the provisions under the federal securities laws “anti-waiver” provisions. For example, recent amendments to Section 115 of the Delaware General Corporations Law provide that forum selection provisions in Delaware charters and bylaws must provide for a Delaware forum (state or federal). The status of such provisions under Nevada and Texas law remains unclear.

Companies inclined to consider adopting mandatory arbitration provisions should be thoughtful about how they proceed and exercise care in the drafting of such provisions.

For further information regarding this memorandum, please contact one of the following:

CONTACTS

Martin S. Bell

+1-212-455-2542
martin.bell@stblaw.com

William B. Brentani

+1-650-251-5110
wbrentani@stblaw.com

Bo Bryan Jin

+1-650-251-5068
bryan.jin@stblaw.com

Peter E. Kazanoff

+1-212-455-3525
pkazanoff@stblaw.com

Jeffrey H. Knox

+1-202-636-5532
jeffrey.knox@stblaw.com

Laura Lin

+1-650-251-5160
laura.lin@stblaw.com

Lynn K. Neuner

+1-212-455-2696
lneuner@stblaw.com

Joshua Polster

+1-212-455-2266
joshua.polster@stblaw.com

Rachel S. Sparks Bradley

+1-212-455-2421
rachel.sparksbradley@stblaw.com

Kenneth B. Wallach

+1-212-455-3352
kwallach@stblaw.com

David Elbaum

+1-212-455-2861
david.elbaum@stblaw.com

Stephen P. Blake

+1-650-251-5153
sblake@stblaw.com

John C. Ericson

+1-212-455-3520
jericsen@stblaw.com

Meredith Karp

+1-212-455-3074
meredith.karp@stblaw.com

Karen Hsu Kelley

+1-212-455-2408
kkelley@stblaw.com

Chet A. Kronenberg

+1-310-407-7557
ckronenberg@stblaw.com

Linton Mann III

+1-212-455-2654
lmann@stblaw.com

Michael J. Osnato, Jr.

+1-212-455-3252
michael.osnato@stblaw.com

Karen Porter

+1-202-636-5539
karen.porter@stblaw.com

Alan C. Turner

+1-212-455-2472
aturner@stblaw.com

George S. Wang

+1-212-455-2228
gwang@stblaw.com

Janet A. Gochman

+1-212-455-2815
jgochman@stblaw.com

Joshua Ford Bonnie

+1-617-778-9032
jbonnie@stblaw.com

Nicholas S. Goldin

+1-212-455-3685
ngoldin@stblaw.com

Joseph H. Kaufman

+1-212-455-2948
jkaufman@stblaw.com

Meaghan A. Kelly

+1-202-636-5542
mkelly@stblaw.com

Joshua A. Levine

+1-212-455-7694
jlevine@stblaw.com

Daniel McEntee

+1-713-821-5618
daniel.mcentee@stblaw.com

Lesley Peng

+1-212-455-2202
lpeng@stblaw.com

Roxane F. Reardon

+1-212-455-2758
rfreardon@stblaw.com

Craig S. Waldman

+1-212-455-2881
cwaldman@stblaw.com

Jonathan K. Youngwood

+1-212-455-3539
jyoungwood@stblaw.com

Simona G. Strauss

+1-650-251-5203
sstrauss@stblaw.com

The contents of this publication are for informational purposes only. Neither this publication nor the lawyers who authored it are rendering legal or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters, nor does the distribution of this publication to any person constitute the establishment of an attorney-client relationship. Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP assumes no liability in connection with the use of this publication. Please contact your relationship partner if we can be of assistance regarding these important developments. The names and office locations of all of our partners, as well as our recent memoranda, can be obtained from our website, www.simpsonthacher.com.